

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,910	07/31/2003	Hiroyuki Yanagisawa	KON-1807 9630	
20311	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP			CHEA, THORL	
475 PARK A	VENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,			1752 DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/631,910	YANAGISAWA, HIROYUKI				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
:	Thorl Chea	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•	in the final rejection wh	sichayar is latar . In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	` '	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		· ·				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 14-20</u> .		l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-12 and 14-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752

13. Other: _____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly claimed limitation such as silver salt of "an aliphatic acid having 15 to 25 carbon atoms" change the scope of the claimed invention and require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reason set forth in the Final Office Action. The unsigned Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 sumitted on June 26, 2006 will be considered in the next office action on the merit.